wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Benjamin Haro-Medina	Case Number: <u>09-6119M</u>	
present and v		§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 13, 2009. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
i iiiu by a pit	·	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant	f released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported	
	The defendant has no significant co	defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal h	istory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The ( at the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
a corrections appeal. The of the United defendant to	There is a serious risk that the defer No condition or combination of cond DIRECT defendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpose.  APPEAL	Indant will flee.  Itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  IONS REGARDING DETENTION  If the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a counter Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the lose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of thi y of the motion for review/reconsideratio	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	ΓED this 13 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 20	09.	
	_		
		- China	
	Unite	David K. Duncan ed States Magistrate Judge	